

Objector - 111 Harbord Street London

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 August 2025 11:17
To: Tucker Matt: H&F <Matt.Tucker@lbhf.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Fulham Football Club Variations

Thanks for forwarding this follow up.

One piece of feedback I would give is that it is quite challenging to get clear information on what is currently permitted and not. I wasn't able to find a clear list of hours for example. Making this information available would help prevent misunderstandings.

I think it's somewhat disingenuous for Mr Baylis to say there has been no complaint about the impact of the current situation. This may be technically true, but the objections that I've seen go in from my neighbours show that (1) we are seeing an impact on the area and (2) we are not happy about it. We will be sure to make formal complaints in future.

This extension may be something of a technicality, but I would like to hear how the council and the club are planning to mitigate the current impacts, e.g. increased vehicle volume, noise from attendees leaving late at night. I haven't seen a "please respect our neighbours" sign for example, which seems pretty de rigueur in these settings.

[REDACTED]

Objector - 13 Doneraile Street London

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 August 2025 11:23
To: Tucker Matt: H&F <Matt.Tucker@lbhf.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Fulham Football Club Variations

Dear Matt,

I hope that the clarification to my objection was read Bayliss Associates.
We do understand the current licenses that FFC / Riverside hold.

We are concerned about exactly the situations they describe that are not/may not be covered by the current licence:

5. The reason for the applications is that there are or may in the future be occasions when the exemptions cannot be utilised. Let me provide 2 examples.

a) On match days there can be over 2000 people on the ground floor of the Riverside Stand prior to kick off. If live music or recorded music is provided in such circumstances for entertainment prior to kick off, it MAY be considered as a breach of the exemption. We would suggest that even in these circumstances the provision is incidental to the football match but for the avoidance of doubt it is better to ensure that the activity is specifically licensed.

b) There may be occasions when live music may be provided as a primary activity to more than 500 persons. For example an event promoted externally. In these circumstances the exemption could not apply and the activity should be specifically licensed.

It is due to the risk of these kind of examples that I still strongly object to the change of license.

Best wishes,

[REDACTED]

Objector - 42 Doneraile Street London

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 August 2025 11:24
To: Tucker Matt: H&F <Matt.Tucker@lbhf.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Fulham Football Club Variations

Dear Mr Tucker,

Thank you for the comprehensive email. I am writing to confirm that I wish to maintain my objection to the application submitted by Fulham Football Club Ltd for a major variation to the premises licence for the Riverside Stand, Fulham Football Club, Stevenage Road, London SW6 6HH.

I have reviewed the response provided by the applicant's solicitor but my concerns remain, particularly regarding the following issues:

1.

Events Beyond 23:00

While the applicant states that hours are not being extended, the request for a licence that covers larger-scale events (over 500 attendees) raises concerns that entertainment could in practice continue late into the night. I strongly oppose any extension of licensable activities beyond 23:00, as this would cause unacceptable noise and disruption to residents.

2.

Large-Scale Events Over 500 People

The variation explicitly seeks to allow music and entertainment for more than 500 attendees. This scale of activity is not appropriate in a primarily residential area outside of football matches. It creates unnecessary disturbance, particularly late at night, and would set a precedent for the stadium to operate as a wider entertainment venue. This impacts the enjoyment of our homes.

3.

Public Safety and Security Risks

Large crowds leaving the stadium at night present serious security concerns for local residents. Women, elderly residents, and others walking home or even walking their dogs face risks in navigating through or around large, sometimes intoxicated groups. I'll remind the Licensing team that football events are scrupulously monitored when it comes to alcohol consumption by fans, this is not the case for concerts or other show events. This impact on community safety should not be overlooked.

4.

Environmental Impact and Cleanliness

Events of this scale inevitably generate litter and antisocial behaviour. Local streets already require significant cleaning after football matches; adding more large-scale events increases this burden, negatively impacting residents and the local environment.

While I note the applicant's assurance that noise monitoring is being undertaken, these measures do not address the more fundamental concerns about the scale, frequency, and timing of events that this application would allow. Unless contractual measures to fix the issues I raised, I will maintain my objection.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the Licensing Authority reject the application.

Yours faithfully,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the sender.

Objector - 50 Ellerby Street London

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 August 2025 11:29
To: Tucker Matt: H&F <Matt.Tucker@lbhf.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Fulham Football Club Variations

Dear Matt,

Good Morning.

I have considered the response from the applicant's solicitor, but my reservations remain unchanged. Approval of this application would allow amplified events of a scale and frequency well beyond those ordinarily exempt. Without binding conditions covering noise limits, the number of events, CCTV monitoring, and structured engagement with residents, the licensing objectives relating to the prevention of public nuisance and crime and disorder would not be safeguarded. On that basis, I maintain my objection.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 August 2025 11:56
To: Tucker Matt: H&F <Matt.Tucker@lbhf.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Fulham Football Club Variations

Dear Matt,

Please allow me to be a little bit more clear about what my concerns are :

1. Whilst I understand that licensing hours are not being extended, it is the frequency, volume and monitoring that concern me and those are all licensing issues.
2. Exemptions cover "most" music, that is incidental. This does not include a blanket authorisation and that is exactly what my family fears. This will undoubtedly change the nature of the neighbourhood, increase nuisance, raise potential crime and security problems and potentially impact residents' safety.
3. They do not need to prove that there has not been historic nuisance with the music. We are entitled to ask for conditions that mitigate risk going forwards, especially when they ask to expand events to 2000 people plus.

I acknowledge the Solicitor's response and note that whilst factual, it has not captured the concerns of the residents, who largely do grasp the nature of the changes requested, irrespective of the lawyer's view.

I note that while the hours may not be extended, the application would authorise larger-scale, more frequent music events beyond current exemptions.

To reiterate, my concerns are not about alcohol hours, per se, but about public nuisance and crime/disorder risks from increased footfall, amplified sound, and dispersal late in the evening.

I stress that voluntary measures (noise monitoring) must be enforceable licence conditions to be meaningful.

I confirm I wish my representation to stand.

Regards,

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Objector - 14 Doneraile Street London

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 August 2025 11:52
To: Tucker Matt: H&F <Matt.Tucker@lbhf.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Fulham Football Club Variations

Dear Matt,

Thank you for forwarding the message by the Applicant's solicitor.

Point 5.b), as an example of occasions when the current exemptions cannot be utilised and therefore is a motivation for the application, is exactly the kind of occasions I am worried about, i.e. that "*live music may be provided as a primary activity to more than 500 persons*".

As a consequence, this response does not mitigate my concerns in the least, and I do not wish to withdraw my representation.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

Objector - 107 Harbord Street London

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 August 2025 12:15
To: Tucker Matt: H&F <Matt.Tucker@lbhf.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Fulham Football Club Variations

Hi Matt

My objections still stand but good news the noise levels are being monitored!

The capacity of the new stand cannot be compared with that of the old stand. The club have attracted new people into the area from their advertising, social media, influencers etc. there's more traffic including delivery lorries, lime bikes etc.

I would ask the council to have the speed vehicles are traveling at down Harbord St too.

Best

[REDACTED]

Objector - Flat 37 Alder Lodge 73 Stevenage Road London

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 August 2025 12:47
To: Tucker Matt: H&F <Matt.Tucker@lbhf.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Fulham Football Club Variations

Dear Mr Tucker

Thank you

The text, that you have forwarded from Mr Baylis, is rather disingenuous.

If there is any misunderstanding (and in my case I would argue that there is none) it would be due in large measure to the failure of FFC's agents to communicate clearly. For example:

- The signage on Fulham Pier itself was placed on a window below knee level. To read it would have required kneeling!
- The information given on the notice is vague. It may mean something to somebody whose life revolves around Licensing but it means little or nothing to most members of the public, the primary audience for such a notice.
- The timing of the notice and cut off for responses (23 July to 18 August) was referred to by a property developer friend as 'the old holiday trick - put in the application when nobody is around so nobody can object'. Whether or not that was the intention, the timing was insensitive at best.
- There is no link on the notices to any web page/s explaining the application or its implications. The result is that residents had to plough through dozens of pages of dry legalese in order to get a clearer picture.

The tone of Mr Baylis's response is unnecessarily patronising and unhelpful.

Complaints have clearly been made to the Club about nuisance, noise and other concerns.

None of this helps to build, repair or maintain bridges between FFC and its local community.

There are genuine concerns about ANY extension or additional use of exemptions under existing licensing legislation; these include concerns about noise, antisocial behaviour, observed criminal activity (drug dealing), trespass and public safety under the existing licences, let alone any extension.

It is a shame that Mr Baylis does not appear to regard these as meriting consideration.

Yours sincerely



Objector - 4 Doneraile Street London

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 August 2025 17:29
To: Tucker Matt: H&F <Matt.Tucker@lbhf.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Fulham Football Club Variations

Dear Matt

I do NOT wish to withdraw my objection.

Regarding the message from the solicitor -

The condescending tone is to be expected. However, he's trying to keep loopholes open. It far from puts my mind at rest.

When the subject of annoying local residents came up near the end of the message, there was no hint of apology or change of behaviour. It was all about 'monitoring', a useful term for eye-rolling when people complain. Also, for the club to be even thinking about events organised externally is quite telling.

Why 11pm end on a match day? It's a football match, not a wedding.

The solicitors are trying to keep everything as open as possible in order for ease later on when they apply for something else. Please keep my objection in place.

Regards

[REDACTED]

Objector - 38 Millshott Close London

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 August 2025 19:27
To: Tucker Matt: H&F <Matt.Tucker@lbhf.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Fulham Football Club Variations

Dear Matt

Thank you for forwarding.

It does not mitigate my concerns. I remain concerned about live music to large crowds because of noise pollution and environmental impact on wildlife of too many people in the area when not a football match.

Large scale events disrupt parking and residents and the birds. The stadium and renovations should not be used for extra large scale events

Best wishes

[REDACTED]

Objector - 51 Ellerby Street London

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 August 2025 20:45
To: Tucker Matt: H&F <Matt.Tucker@lbhf.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Fulham Football Club Variations

My objection remains.

Although not stated in my original email, the extended use of Fulham Football Stadium outside of football matches has been a blight on the local community.

In particular, after bar closures on non-football days we have repeatedly witnessed and caught individuals urinating in our street, including against residents' cars. This issue does not occur on football match days as security is present, but when events are held without that level of supervision it has become a recurring problem.

Furthermore, because the park is closed at these times, people leaving the stadium are forced up through residential streets, compounding the disturbance to local homes.

I urge the Council to take these concerns seriously before approving any licence variation. If anything the original license should be reduced to an earlier closing time based on these very serious health issues.

[REDACTED]

Objector - Flat 81 Alder Lodge 73 Stevenage Road London

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 August 2025 13:39
To: Tucker Matt: H&F <Matt.Tucker@lbhf.gov.uk>
Subject: MAJOR VARIATION TO LICENSE AT FULHAM FOOTBALL CLUB SW6.

Dear Mr. Tucker,

Thank you for sending email and statement set out by
Bayliss Associates acting on behalf of FFC.

We categorically state we do not wish to withdraw our objections.

The information is not clear what FFC is actually seeking with these four applications?

We sincerely hope the LBHF Licensing Department will stop these
licenses being granted, at least until residents at River Gardens and fellow neighbours in
our area receive clear and valid reasons why these
Major Variation requests are being made by FFC.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Objector - Flat 68 Alder Lodge 73 Stevenage Road London

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 August 2025 15:38
To: Tucker Matt: H&F <Matt.Tucker@lbhf.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Subject: Reaffirming that I stand by my objection Fulham Football Club Variations

Dear Mr Tucker,

Thank you for your message regarding the consultation and the points raised by the Applicant's solicitor. I would like to reaffirm that I stand by my objection and will not be retracting it.

I appreciate the clarification regarding the licensing situation and the exemptions outlined; however, I believe that concerns regarding potential noise and the implications of live and recorded music remain significant and warrant serious consideration.

Thank you for your understanding.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]